

**The
BLACK
C o M M e n t a t o r**
Commentary, analysis and investigations
on issues affecting African Americans
www.blackcommentator.com

April 16, 2009 - Issue 320

[Contents of Issue Menu](#)

Click Here

[Home](#)

Cover Story
Obama and the Somalia Dilemma
African World
By Bill Fletcher, Jr.
BlackCommentator.com Executive Editor

Hear Bill Fletcher with Mark Thompson 7pm ET Thursday, April 16



Click on the back button of your browser to return to non printer friendly page of this article

[Click here](#) to get helpful hints for viewing and printing this printer friendly plain text page

With all of the discussion about the pirating of a US ship off the coast of Somalia, it is possible to overlook a far more important discussion concerning the Horn of Africa. According to the Saturday, April 11th issue of the **Washington Post** the Obama administration is discussing whether military action should be taken against the Somali right-wing Islamist group known as Al-Shabab.

Al-Shabab began as the military wing of the Union of Islamic Courts (UIC), the movement that stabilized and ruled much of Somalia during 2006 (and parts of Somalia prior to that). At the end of 2006 Ethiopia staged a US-inspired invasion of Somalia. The Ethiopians quickly overran the UIC positions and drove them from power, at which point the rootless Transitional National Government was installed. The UIC, however, began a deadly guerrilla campaign against the TNG and their Ethiopian allies. Al-Shabab was one of the instruments of that campaign. In time, however, differences

emerged within the UIC and between it and Al-Shabab such that the latter now exists as a largely independent organization.

The pretext for the Ethiopian invasion was that the UIC had connections with Al Qaeda. No such ties were ever proven, but the Bush administration used these allegations to support the invasion and to carry out military attacks against UIC and *alleged* Al Qaeda positions following the Ethiopian invasion.

Ethiopia largely withdrew from Somalia at the end of 2008, unable to ever stabilize the situation yet making itself the enemy of the mass of Somalis. In this situation of great instability, Al-Shabab has emerged as a domestic force fighting for power.

Elements of the Obama administration and the US military believe that Al-Shabab has ties to the Al Qaeda and, as such, should be subject of military assaults by the USA. Others in the Administration suggest caution since the objectives of Al-Shabab seem to be focused on Somalia (and that ties with Al Qaeda are dubious, at best).

Somalia, in so many respects, finds itself in a chaos that, once again, is the product of US foreign policy. The dictatorial regime of former dictator Siad Barre (overthrown in 1991) was a major ally of the USA, despite all evidence that the original reform aims of Barre's regime had been repudiated. US intervention in the post-Barre period of warlord-ism was a failure and was disconnected from any serious effort to help the Somalis to rebuild their state. The USA, along with the rest of the global North, was comfortable allowing Somalia to wallow in civil chaos for most of the 1990s and early 2000s. And, finally, when the country began to stabilize, the USA—under Bush—concluded that the UIC was unacceptable and needed to be overthrown, thus plunging the country back into chaos.

US military strikes against Al-Shabab will, if anything, further inflame the situation. Consider what is transpiring in Pakistan at this moment. US military strikes at alleged terrorist bases WITHIN Pakistan, which more often than not result in civilian casualties, not only create great hostility to the USA, but further destabilize the political situation in an already fragile Pakistan. Rather than strengthening the Pakistani government, US military operations discredit the Pakistani government.

US military strikes in Somalia will settle any questions that may exist as to the objectives of the USA, at least from the standpoint of the Somali people. Since there is no evidence of Al Shabab actions targeting the USA, US military actions against Al Shabab will be seen for what they are, acts of unprovoked aggression.

Prior to the US-backed Ethiopian invasion of Somalia the UIC attempted to convince the Bush administration that it was not interested in a hostile relationship with the USA. Bush ignored these overtures and, true to form, pulled the trigger. The question for the Obama administration is whether it, too, will travel the easier path of closing their eyes and pulling the trigger. The alternative is to recognize that the so-called war against terrorism framework is the equivalent of a pair of broken glasses through which one can not see reality, but only fragments.

Obama has one chance to get this right. Impetuous action will more than likely produce just the enemies the USA so fears, not to mention unhinge the region.

[Click here to comment on any article
in this issue or see what others are saying in the](#)

The BlackCommentator Readers' Corner Blog

BlackCommentator.com Executive Editor, Bill Fletcher, Jr., is a Senior Scholar with

the [Institute for Policy Studies](#), the immediate past president of [TransAfrica Forum](#) and co-author of, [Solidarity Divided: The Crisis in Organized Labor and a New Path toward Social Justice](#) (University of California Press), which examines the crisis of organized labor in the USA. Click [here](#) to contact Mr. Fletcher.

[Contents of Issue Menu](#)

Click Here

[Home](#)

Click Here To

CONTRIBUTE TO  NOW

Click Here To

CONTACT 

Your comments are always welcome.

e-Mail re-print notice

If you send us an e-Mail message we may publish all or part of it, unless you tell us it is not for publication. You may also request that we withhold your name.

Thank you very much for your readership.

Website Design and Hosting provided by



Copyright © 2002-2009
www.BlackCommentator.com
All Rights Reserved